

ARLINGTON, VA 22202

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FI	LING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/988,591	1	1/20/2001	Kazuhiro Sakata	SON-0518US	SON-0518US 8923	
466	7590	01/14/2005		EXAM	INER	
YOUNG &	THOMP	SON		ISMAIL, SH	AWKI SAIF	
745 SOUTH	23RD ST	REET				
2ND FLOOI	R		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER		

2155 DATE MAILED: 01/14/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Application No.	Applicant(s)	Applicant(s)				
		09/988,591	SAKATA, KAZUHIRO					
	Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit					
		Shawki S Ismail	2155					
Perio	The MAILING DATE of this communication app d for Reply	pears on the cover sheet with	the correspondence addre	ess				
T1	SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL'HE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a repl If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period of Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	36(a). In no event, however, may a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTH, cause the application to become ABA	ly be timely filed 30) days will be considered timely. IS from the mailing date of this commoder (35 U.S.C. § 133).	nunication.				
Statu	s							
1)	Responsive to communication(s) filed on							
2a)		action is non-final.						
3)								
Dispo	sition of Claims							
4) 5) 6)	 ✓ Claim(s) 1-14 is/are pending in the application 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawing. ✓ Claim(s) is/are allowed. ✓ Claim(s) 1-14 is/are rejected. ✓ Claim(s) 1-14 is/are objected to. 	wn from consideration.						
Appli	cation Papers							
9)	☐ The specification is objected to by the Examine	er.						
10)	☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ accepted or b)☐ objected to by the Examiner.							
	Applicant may not request that any objection to the			4.4047.10				
11	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex							
•	_							
	ty under 35 U.S.C. § 119		140/=) (-1) = - (6)					
12,	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority document 2. Certified copies of the priority document 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority document application from the International Burear * See the attached detailed Office action for a list	s have been received. s have been received in Apprite to the second of t	olication No eceived in this National St	age				
Attach	ment(s)							
	Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview Sui						
	Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)		Mail Date brmal Patent Application (PTO-1	52)				
	Paper No(s)/Mail Date	6) Other:		,				

Application/Control Number: 09/98**6**,591 Page 2

Art Unit: 2155

DETAILED ACTION

1. Claims 1-14 are presented for examination.

References in applicant's IDS form 1449 have been considered.

Applicant's claim for foreign priority is acknowledged.

Claim Objections

2. A series of singular dependent claims is permissible in which a dependent claim refers to a preceding claim which, in turn, refers to another preceding claim. A claim which depends from a dependent claim should not be separated by any claim which does not also depend from said dependent claim. It should be kept in mind that a dependent claim may refer to any preceding independent claim. In general, applicant's sequence will not be changed. See MPEP § 608.01(n).

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 3. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 4. Claims 1-14 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over applicant admitted prior art, hereinafter "AAPA" and in view of Suzuki U.S. Patent No. 6,680,675.

Application/Control Number: 09/986,591

Art Unit: 2155

5. As to claim 1, AAPA teaches a notification system for notifying a user of a processing item at a specific timing in accordance with an information input about the processing item including an expiration date of execution, wherein whether to notify the user of the processing item is determined on the basis of a time difference between current time and the expiration date of execution included in the processing item (Fig. 1, Page 1 line 22 – page 2 line 7).

AAPA does not explicitly teach wherein the notification of the user of a processing item at a specific timing in accordance with an information input about the processing item including position of execution.

Suzuki teaches an electronic system and method for providing an alert of an entry in a to-do list when a user is within a pre-defined proximity location to perform the to-do list item. The mobile terminal includes a GPS interface that allows an automatic detection of the mobile terminal when the automobile is in motion. Using the GPS interface, the mobile terminal periodically compares the position of the user with various to-do list item locations to determine whether the user will pass within a pre-defined proximity metric of the listed item. Whenever a particular criteria is satisfied, the system provides a notification to the user of a potential to-do list item (col. 3, lines 21-40).

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of applicant's invention to incorporate the teaching of Suzuki into AAPA in order to make the system efficient. Notification on the basis of proximity or position from the place of execution would help the user efficiently manage their time and help in completing their tasks in a timely manner. With this service, users no longer

Application/Control Number: 09/986,591

Art Unit: 2155

run the risk of forgetting an errand that could have been efficiently performed during a trip to a destination location (col. 1, lines 14-25).

6. As to claim 2, AAPA teaches a notification system for notifying a user of a processing item at a specific timing in accordance with an information input about the processing item including an execution position and expiration date of execution, comprising:

processing item input means having a function of inputting information about a processing item (Fig. 1, Page 1 line 22 – page 2 line 7);

processing item storage means having a function of storing the processing item input by said processing item input means (Fig. 1, Page 1 line 22 – page 2 line 7);

timepiece means having a function of measuring current time (Fig. 1, Page 1 line 22 – page 2 line 7);

notification determination means having a function of determining whether to notify the user of the processing item, on the basis of a time difference between the current time and the expiration date of execution included in the processing item stored in said processing item storage means and a distance between the current position and the execution position included in the processing item (Fig. 1, Page 1 line 22 – page 2 line 7); and

notification means having a function of notifying the user (Fig. 1, Page 1 line 22 – page 2 line 7).

AAPA does not explicitly teach position measuring means having a function of measuring a current position of a user.

Application/Control Number: 09/986,591

Art Unit: 2155

Suzuki teaches an electronic system and method for providing an alert of an entry in a to-do list when a user is within a pre-defined proximity location to perform the to-do list item. The mobile terminal includes a GPS interface that allows an automatic detection of the mobile terminal when the automobile is in motion. Using the GPS interface, the mobile terminal periodically compares the position of the user with various to-do list item locations to determine whether the user will pass within a pre-defined proximity metric of the listed item. Whenever a particular criteria is satisfied, the system provides a notification to the user of a potential to-do list item (col. 3, lines 21-40).

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of applicant's invention to incorporate the teaching of Suzuki into AAPA in order to make the system efficient. Notification on the basis of proximity or position from the place of execution would help the user efficiently manage their time and help in completing their tasks in a timely manner. With this service, users no longer run the risk of forgetting an errand that could have been efficiently performed during a trip to a destination location (col. 1, lines 14-25).

7. As to claim 3, AAPA teaches a system according to claim 1 as discussed above. AAPA does not explicitly teach that when it is determined whether to notify the user of a processing item whose expiration date of execution is not designated, a distance between the current position and an execution position included in the processing item is used.

Suzuki teaches an electronic system and method for providing an alert of an entry in a to-do list when a user is within a pre-defined proximity location to perform the to-do list item. The mobile terminal includes a GPS interface that allows an automatic detection of the mobile terminal when the automobile is in motion. Using the GPS interface, the mobile terminal periodically compares the position of the user with various to-do list item locations to determine whether the user will pass within a pre-defined proximity metric of the listed item. Whenever a particular criteria is satisfied, the system provides a notification to the user of a potential to-do list item (col. 3, lines 21-40).

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of applicant's invention to incorporate the teaching of Suzuki into AAPA in order to make the system efficient. Notification on the basis of proximity or position from the place of execution would help the user efficiently manage their time and help in completing their tasks in a timely manner. With this service, users no longer run the risk of forgetting an errand that could have been efficiently performed during a trip to a destination location (col. 1, lines 14-25).

- 8. As to claim 4, it contains a similar limitation as seen in claim 3, therefore, it rejected under the same rationale.
- 9. Claims 5-14 are essentially the server, method, and recording medium for the above mentioned claims (claims 1 and 2) and are thus rejected under the same rationale.

Conclusion

10. The Prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure.

Art Unit: 2155

- a. Jones U.S. Patent No. 6,313,760 relates to an advance notification system and method for notifying persons in advance of the impending arrival of a transportation vehicle.
- b. Jones U.S. Patent No. 6,411,891 relates to data communications and information systems and, more particularly, to advance notification systems and methods for notifying users in advance of the impending arrival of a vehicle or user.
- c. Dussell et al. U.S. Patent No. 6,411,899 relates generally to real time positioning systems and, more particularly, to the use of such systems to control access to computer databases to assist in task scheduling.
- d. Welch U.S. Patent No. 6,177,905 relates to features of mobile user devices, such as Location-triggered reminder.
- 11. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Shawki S. Ismail whose telephone number is 571-272-3985. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F 8:30 5:00. If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Hosain Alam can be reached on 571-272-3978. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public Art Unit: 2155

PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Shawki Ismail Patent Examiner January 7, 2005

> HOSAIN ALAM SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER